	Case 2:05-cr-00391-RSM Document 251 Filed 11/25/05 Page 1 of 4
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8	AT SEATTLE
9	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )
11	Plaintiff, ) CASE NO. CR 05-391 RSM
12	V. )
13	MICHAEL R. COX,  DETENTION ORDER
14	Defendant.
15	Offeres shared. CONCRIDACY TO DISTRIBUTE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES
16	Offense charged: CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES
17	Data of Datantian Haaring, Navambar 22, 2005
18	Date of Detention Hearing: November 23, 2005  The Court, having conducted a contested detention hearing pursuant to Title 18
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	U.S.C. § 3142(f), and based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention
21	hereafter set forth, finds that no condition or combination of conditions which the defendant
22	can meet will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
23	of any other person and the community.
24	The Government was represented by Ron Friedman and Rich Cohen.
25	The defendant was represented by Carol Koller.
26	
20	
	DETENTION ORDER
	PAGE -1-
	I

## FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

- (1) There is probable cause to believe the defendant committed the drug offense of Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances.
  The maximum penalty is in excess of ten years.
  There is therefore a rebuttable presumption against the defendant's release based upon both dangerousness and flight risk, under Title 18
  U.S.C. § 3142(e).
- (2) Nothing in this record satisfactorily rebuts the presumption against release for several reasons: Using the factors below, under Title 18 § 3142 (g), the Court considered the following:
  - (a) The nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves a narcotic drug. Here, the Defendant Michael Cox is alleged to have assisted co-defendant Ian Fuhr, the leader of this conspiracy to distribute cocaine, cocaine base and oxycodone and marijuana. This conspiracy was investigated through the use of a court-authorized wiretap. On the wiretap defendant Cox is heard to have used the numerous false names and to have employed others to use false names for the illegal purchase of oxycodone.
  - (b) The weight of the evidence. From the evidence taken by courtauthorized wiretap demonstrates that the weight of the Government's evidence against this defendant is strong.
  - (c) The history and characteristics of the person, including:

    The person's character, physical and mental condition, family
    ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the
    community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug

or alcohol abuse, criminal history, record concerning appearance at court proceedings, and whether at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person was on probation, on parole, on other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of sentence of an offense under Federal, State, or local law. The Government argues that the role this defendant played in the conspiracy was significant inasmuch s he was the purveyor and manufacturer of false Washington identification cards to be used in furtherance of the conspiracy.

- d) Risk of danger to the community.
  - Here the government argues that in addition to the risk of continued involvement in drug sales and trafficking, this defendant poses a danger of committing violent crimes against others. This alleged offense appears to have occurred while the defendant was awaiting entry/acceptance into state drug court.
- (3) Based upon the foregoing information which is consistent with the recommendation of U.S. Pre-trial Services, it appears that there is no condition or combination of conditions that would reasonably assure future Court appearances and/or the safety of other persons or the community.

## It is therefore ORDERED:

(1) The defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;

- (2) The defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel;
- (3) On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding; and
- (4) The clerk shall direct copies of this order to counsel for the United States, to counsel for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United States Pretrial Services Officer.

DATED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2005.

MONICA J. BENTON

United States Magistrate Judge